IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENVILLE DIVISION

Robert L. Nance,	Plaintiff,))))) Civil Action No. 6:09-545-CMC-WMC
vs. Jon Ozmint,		REPORT OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE
Jon Ozmint,	Defendant.)))

The plaintiff brought this action seeking relief pursuant to Title 42, United States Code, Section 1983. On August 20, 2009, the defendant filed a motion for summary judgment. On August 21, 2009, pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), the plaintiff was advised of the summary judgment procedure and the possible consequences if he failed to respond adequately. Despite this explanation, the plaintiff elected not to respond to the motion.

As the plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*, the court filed a second order on October 2, 2009, giving the plaintiff through October 26, 2009, to file his response to the motion for summary judgment. The plaintiff was specifically advised that if he failed to respond, this action would be dismissed for failure to prosecute. The plaintiff elected not to respond.

Based on the foregoing, it appears the plaintiff no longer wishes to pursue this action. Accordingly, it is recommended that this action be dismissed for lack of prosecution pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *Ballard v. Carlson*, 882 F.2d 93 (4th Cir. 1989), *cert. denied*, 493 U.S. 1084 (1990).

November 19, 2009 Greenville, South Carolina s/William M. Catoe United States Magistrate Judge